UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/527,861 | 03/16/2005 | Christian Hentschel | NL 020911 | 2056 |
| | 7590 08/11/200 LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | TSAI, SHENG JEN | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2186 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/527,861 | HENTSCHEL, CHRISTIAN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| SHENG-JEN TSAI | 2186 | | |

| | SHENG-JEN TSAI | 2186 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavioal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>see below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(| | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | · | l be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Sheng-Jen Tsai/ TFSA Examiner, Art Uni | t 2186 | |
| | | | |

Applicants propose to amend claims 1, 8, 9 and 16 with additional new limitations.

The Examiner acknowledges that the additional limitations proposed to be amended on claims 1 and 9 were previously presented in claims 2 & 5 and claims 10 & 13, respectively, hence do not raise new issues that require further search.

However, the additional limitations proposed to be amended on claims 8 and 16 have never been presented before, thus would raise new issues that require further search/consideration.

Since the prosecution of this Application is currently at post-final-action stage, the amendments will not be entered as they change the scope of the claims and would necessitate a new search and claim analysis to determined the merit of patentability of the amended claims.

The options available to Applicants at post-final-action stage are: Abandon the Application, Appeal, or Request for Continued Examination (RCE). Among the three, only RCE would permit new, additional or different limitations to be entered, assuming that the new limitations are supported by the original disclosure.